23

24

1 2 3 4. 5 6 7		BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION SECRETARIAT			
	In the	e Matter of) CASE CLOSURES UNDER) ENFORCEMENT PRIORIT)	2003 JAN 27 A 10: 0° Y SYSTEM			
		SE	NSITIVE			
9	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT					
10						
11	I.	INTRODUCTION				
12		The cases listed below have been evaluated under the Enforcement Priorit	y System			
13	("EPS") and identified as either low priority or potential ADR transfers. This report					
14	recommends that the Commission no longer pursue the cases cited in section II					
15		·				
16	II. CASES RECOMMENDED FOR CLOSURE Cases Not Warranting Further Action Relative to Other Cases Pending Before the Commission					
17 18 19						
20		EPS was created to identify pending cases that, due to the length of their p	endency in			
21	inactive status or the lower priority of the issues raised in the matters relative to others					
22	presently pending before the Commission, do not warrant further expenditures of resources					

Central Enforcement Docket ("CED") evaluates each incoming matter using Commission-

approved criteria that result in a numerical rating for each case.

RECEIVED

Case Closures Under EPS General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 3

l		We have identified	cases	which this Office recommends be		
2					closed. ²	
3						
4				·	·	
5		·				
6						
7						
8						
	•					
9				·		
10						
11						
12						
13	IV.	RECOMMENDATIO	<u>NS</u>			
14				ion exercise its prosecuto	rial discretion and close	
15	the cases listed below effective two weeks from the date the Commission votes on the					

² The cases recommended for closure are: MUR 5255 (Roy Brown for Congress); MUR 5256R (Allied Pilots Association PAC); MUR 5271 (A Whole Lot of People for Grijalva Congressional Committee); MUR 5280 (Bundgaard for Congress); MUR 5284 (Moran for Congress); MUR 5289 (Friends of the Rouge & Friends of the Detroit River); and MUR 5301 (Charlotte Reeves for US Congress).

Case Closures Under EPS General Counsel's Report Page 3 of 3

- 1 recommendations. Closing these cases as of this date will allow CED and the Legal Review
- 2 Team the necessary time to prepare closing letters and case files for the public record.
- Take no action, close the file effective two weeks from the date of the Commission
- 4 vote, and approve the appropriate letters in:
 - 1. MUR 5255
- 2. MUR 5256R
- 3. MUR 5271

- 4. MUR 5280
- 5. MUR 5284
- 6. MUR 5289

7. MUR 5301

Lawrence H. Norton General Counsel

||24|03 Date

BY:

Rhonda J. Vosdingh

Associate General Counsel for Enforcement

Jeff S. Jordan

Supervisory Attorney, CED

20

19

8 9 10

11 12 13

6 7

8 9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

28

29 30

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

46

MUR 5271

Complainant: Ray Carroll

Respondents: A Whole Lot of People for Grijalva Congressional Committee and

Thomas Chandler, Treasurer

Pima County Ruben Reyes

Allegations: Complainant, Ray Carroll, alleged that Raul Grijialva violated the Act by allowing a newly re-hired Pima County Board of Supervisors employee, Ruben Reyes, to work as a campaign volunteer. Newspaper articles attached to the complaint noted that Mr. Reyes did practically nothing while earning \$22.62 per hour for a job that did not exist before Mr. Grijalva resigned from the Board of Supervisors. Another article noted that while on sick leave Mr. Reyes was seen working for the campaign to "get Grijalva elected." The complainant noted that the County Administrator, Chuck Huckelberry, hired Mr. Reyes and publicly admitted that he knew Mr. Reyes would continue to work on the campaign while also being a county employee. All of the above actions were alleged to have resulted in prohibited in-kind contributions to A Whole Lot of People for Grijalva Congressional Committee ("Committee") and Thomas Chandler, as treasurer.

Responses: The Committee responded by stating that it had nothing to do with the employment relationship between Mr. Reyes and Pima County. Mr. Reyes was not a paid staff member for the Committee.

Mr. Reves stated that he resigned from the position held with Pima County and reimbursed the county for wages that were unearned. Moreover, for a period immediately after his resignation he acknowledged receiving payroll wages through his accumulated leave hours. Furthermore, Mr. Reyes noted that he volunteered to work on the campaign for Mr. Grijalva and did not accept any compensation.

C.H. Huckelberry responded on behalf of Pima County by stating that it made no improper contribution to the Committee. Furthermore, the county was following a practice of hiring former administrative assistants into unclassified service upon the resignation of their supervisor. Mr. Reyes was cautioned to keep his campaign activities for Mr. Grijalva separate from his job duties with Pima County. Mr. Reyes voluntarily resigned from his position after the complainant indicated his employment was improper. Mr. Reyes returned his salary for the period he was employed minus his accumulated leave he had earned previously with the county.

This matter is less significant relative to other matters pending before the Commission.

26 27

> 31 32